
From: IMARzrBck@aol.com [mailto:IMARzrBck@aol.com]

Sent: Monday, January 23, 2006 12:40 PM

To: Ombudsman Washington

Cc: kbielak@utk.edu; kbrowner@ipa.net; warren.center@hccredit.com; scoartney@cullinan.com; Kcullinan@cullinan.com; Lkday@aol.com; Pageell@aol.com; IMARzrBck@aol.com; Launchddh@aol.com; Harrisgrnts@aol.com; DSHARRI@aol.com; garyh@dayspring.com; ETNIVFDOC@aol.com; jennyharris@clearwire.net.; HarrisConsults@aol.com; vpharris@comcast.net; JohnsonJoysong@aol.com; wjonesb47e@hotmail.com; Dlmoore78@aol.com; Cheer4U1980@aol.com; JDWPruett@aol.com; srice@nts-online.net.; cfstansell@yahoo.com; thomason31@cox.net; zipper29@ev1.net; Judge@JAPruett.com; pwoodbaok@cox.net; clong@jcf.jonesnet.org

Subject: WalMart Bank Request

A a shareholder in Wal*Mart I am greatly concerned that WalMart will be denied approval of their proposed bank in Utah. Since competitors Sears and Target have the very same facilities in use, it would be a very biased decision to deny Wal*Mart the same banking privilege. Such an action against WalMart would be absolutely contrary to our basic Capitalistic Economy practice of fair competition, and a level market playing field for all entities. If Wal*Mart is denied such a bank, then all other companies should have to shed their facilities immediately. Fair is fair, and head to head competition is very good for the American public as a whole!

The banking lobby should not decide this issue for you!

Thanks for your time and concern.

Claude Harris